

City of Moorpark

Hearing on Proposed Maps

January 23, 2019

Federal Laws

- ❑ Equal Population
- ❑ Federal Voting Rights Act
- ❑ No Racial Gerrymandering


Traditional Redistricting Principles

- ❑ Communities of interest
- ❑ Compact
- ❑ Contiguous
- ❑ Visible (Natural & man-made) boundaries
- ❑ Respect voters' choices / continuity in office
- ❑ *Planned future growth*



Latino Population

Latinos are particularly concentrated around the center of the city and along Hwy 118.



Asian-Americans and African-Americans are not concentrated anywhere in the city.

Election Sequencing I

4

- Difficult to analyze in detail with so many maps
 - ▣ Easier once down to 2/3 maps
 - ▣ State law requires that each draft map include a “proposed” election sequence when posted, but final sequence is up for discussion.
- Requirements:
 - ▣ Match current cycle: two seats in 2020 (or three for a 5-district map) and two seats in 2022
 - ▣ Districting cannot impact Councilmembers’ current terms

□ Standard Approach:


- If only one Councilmember is in one district, that district is matched to that Councilmember's election year
 - Same with if two Councilmembers are paired but up in the same year
- Next the most-heavily-Latino/traditionally lowest-turnout district is assigned to 2020, since that is a higher-turnout Presidential election year
- Any remaining election sequence slots are randomly assigned

Proposed Maps

6

- 20 maps received from the public:
 - 19 before the posting deadline and 1 after the deadline
 - One 3-district map – not population balanced
 - Three 5-district maps
 - Sixteen 4-district maps
 - One received after posting deadline and not yet processed
 - Seven not population balanced
 - Eight population balanced
- 5 maps added to the mix by NDC
 - NDC's goal is to ensure a full range of options are available
 - Three 4-district maps
 - Two 5-district maps

- Decide on 4 vs 5 districts
 - ▣ Following that discussion and direction, we will move into a detailed look at the maps with the preferred number of districts




Public Hearing & Discussion

8

1. Which map do you think is best?
2. Which other maps are OK? Which are unacceptable?
3. What do you like or dislike about each map?
4. What changes might make your preferred map(s) even better?
5. We can use the [interactive review map](#) to examine map details






Goals:

1. Narrow choices to 2 or 3 maps
2. Give direction regarding any tests or changes you wish to see made to those 2 or 3 maps






Five-District Maps

9




4-District: Not Population Balanced

10







4-district: splits protected class neighborhood



4-District: Carry Forward Maps



12



January 23, 2019



Also for next meeting: 425 (not yet processed)

4-District: Vertical Maps







4-District: Quadrant Maps

14






4-District: Central-District Maps

15



Suggestion (if 4 districts are preferred): Consider keeping one from each group?



Conclusion

17

- Happy to look in detail at any maps
- Select map(s) to carry forward
- Next meeting will consider maps selected tonight, any map changes/tests requested by Council, and any “carry-forward” maps