MOORPARK REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY

ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT

Year Ended June 30, 2009

Chairperson	Janice Parvin
Agency Members	Roseann Mikos Keith Millhouse David Pollock Mark Van Dam
Executive Director	Steven Kueny
Assistant City Manager	Hugh Riley

Report Prepared by:
Ron Ahlers, Agency Treasurer
Irmina Lumbad, Finance & Accounting Manager
Debbie Burdorf, Accountant I

Moorpark Redevelopment Agency
Annual Financial Report
Year Ended June 30, 2009

TABLE OF CONTENTS

November 1, 2009

Honorable Chair and Members
of the Moorpark Redevelopment Agency
City of Moorpark
Moorpark, CA 93021

INTRODUCTION

California (State) law requires that all general-purpose local governments publish within six months of the close of each fiscal year a complete set of financial statements presented in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) and audited by a firm of licensed certified public accountants in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Pursuant to the requirement, we hereby issue the annual financial report of the Moorpark Redevelopment Agency (Agency) for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009.

This report consists of management's representations concerning the finances of the Agency. Consequently, management assumes full responsibility for the completeness and reliability of all of the information presented in this report. To provide a reasonable basis for making these representations, management of the Agency has established a comprehensive internal control framework that is designed both to protect the Agency's assets from loss, theft, or misuse and to compile sufficient reliable information for the preparation of the Agency's financial statements in conformity with GAAP. Because the cost of internal controls should not outweigh their benefits, the Agency's comprehensive framework of internal controls has been designed to provide reasonable rather than absolute assurance that the financial statements will be free from material misstatement. As management, we assert that, to the best of our knowledge and belief, this financial report is complete and reliable in all material respects.

Teaman, Ramirez & Smith, Inc., a firm of certified public accountants, has audited the Agency's financial statements. The goal of the independent audit was to provide reasonable assurance that the financial statements of the Agency for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009, are free of material misstatement. The independent audit involved examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements; assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management; and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. The independent auditor concluded, based upon the audit, that there was a reasonable basis for rendering an unqualified opinion that the Agency's financial statements for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009, are fairly presented in conformity with GAAP. The independent auditor's report is presented as the first component of the financial section of this report.

GAAP requires that management provide a narrative introduction, overview, and analysis to accompany the basic financial statements in the form of Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A). This letter of transmittal is designed to complement the MD&A and should be read in conjunction with it. The Agency's MD&A can be found immediately following the report of the independent auditors.

PROFILE OF THE MOORPARK REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY

The Agency was created by the Moorpark City Council Ordinance No. 87, adopted March 18, 1987. The City Council appointed the Board of Directors and established bylaws of the Agency on May 20, 1987, by Resolution No. 87-387. The Agency was established pursuant to the Community Redevelopment Law of California as modified in Part I of Division 24 of the State of California Health and Safety Code. As such, the Agency acts as a legal entity, separate and distinct from the City even though the City Council has the authority to appoint the Agency's Governing Board. The Agency has a Redevelopment Plan which was adopted by the Moorpark City Council Ordinance No. 110, on July 5, 1989.

At present, the Moorpark City Council serves as the governing body of the Agency with the authority to carry out redevelopment activities. The City Manager serves as Executive Director; the Finance Director serves as the Treasurer of the Agency; the City Clerk serves as Secretary of the Agency; and the City Attorney serves as Agency Counsel.

The Agency currently has one project area:

1. The Project Area consists of one large contiguous area consisting of approximately 1,217 acres. The Project Area is comprised of a mixture of residential, commercial, industrial and institutional land uses along with parcels that are undeveloped and/or under-utilized, parking areas, and public rights-of-way.

The actions of the Agency are binding, and its appointed representatives routinely transact business, including the incurrence of long-term debt, in the Agency's name. The Agency is broadly empowered to engage in the general economic revitalization and redevelopment of the City through acquisition and development of property in those areas of the City determined to be in a blighted condition, as defined under State law.

The California Community Redevelopment Law of California provides that, pursuant to the adoption of a redevelopment plan, the Agency is entitled to a proportional amount based on tax-sharing agreements for all future incremental property tax revenues attributable to increases in the property tax base within the Project Area.

Property taxes levied for the fiscal year ended on June 30 are payable in equal installments due on November 1 and February 1 and collectible December 10 and April 10, respectively.

FACTORS AFFECTING FINANCIAL CONDITION

The information presented in the financial statements is perhaps best understood when it is considered from the broader perspective of the specific environment within which the Agency operates.

LOCAL ECONOMY

Economic growth in the City of Moorpark is relatively flat. During the last year, there has been continued growth in property tax revenue due to continued real estate sales and healthy values for properties being sold. However, with the recent housing crisis and lower values for properties being sold, growth in property tax revenue is slowing. Overall, growth in sales tax revenue decreased due to declining sales activity, especially for general consumer goods.

AGENCY LOANS

As of June 30, 2009, the Agency's outstanding loan total is $1,846,684. The Agency's loan to Mission Bell Partners in the amount of $1,704,786 was to fund land disposition. Principal and interest are due on September 2, 2029. The Agency has loaned $125,514 to the Area Housing Authority for a low/moderate-income housing project on Charles Street. The Agency operates rehabilitation loan programs for the renovation of low/moderate-income housing; total outstanding is in the amount of $16,384.

CASH MANAGEMENT POLICIES AND PRACTICES

Cash temporarily idle during the year was invested in the City Treasurer's portfolio. The average yield was 2.55 percent for the fiscal year. Investment income includes appreciation in the fair value of investments. Increases in fair value during the current year, however, do not necessarily represent trends that will continue; nor is it always possible to realize such amounts, especially in the case of temporary changes in the fair value of investments that the government intends to hold to maturity.

RISK MANAGEMENT

As a component unit of the City of Moorpark, the Agency is covered under the City's policies for general liability, property insurance and workers compensation coverage.

Additional information on the Agency's risk management can be found in Note 14 of the financial statements.

SUMMARY

In conclusion, I would like to take this opportunity to express my appreciation to the staff of the Finance Department and Redevelopment Agency, led by the efforts of the Finance & Accounting Manager, Irmina Lumbad and Accountant I, Debbie Burdorf, whose hard work and dedication have made the preparation of this report possible. I would like to express my appreciation to the Agency Members, Steve Kueny as Executive Director and Hugh Riley as Assistant City Manager, for their support and responsible planning of the Agency's financial affairs.

Respectfully submitted,

RON AHLERS
AGENCY TREASURER

Independent Auditors' Report

The Honorable Chair and Members of the Agency
Moorpark Redevelopment Agency
Moorpark, California

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities and each major fund of the Moorpark Redevelopment Agency of the City of Moorpark (Agency), a component unit of the City of Moorpark, California (City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2009, which collectively comprise the Agency's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Agency's management. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the governmental activities and each major fund of the Agency, as of June 30, 2009, and the respective changes in financial position thereof for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated December 4, 2009 on our consideration of the Agency's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and on compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.

The required supplementary information, such as management's discussion and analysis on pages vii through xii and the major Special Revenue Fund budgetary comparison schedules on pages 32 and 33, are not a required part of the basic financial statements, but are supplementary information required by the accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. We have applied certain limited procedures, which consisted principally of inquiries of management regarding the methods of measurement and presentation of the required supplementary information. However, we did not audit the information and express no opinion on it.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the Agency's basic financial statements. The letter of transmittal and other supplementary information listed in the table of contents, including additional budgetary comparison schedules are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the basic financial statements. These schedules have been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and in our opinion, are fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

Seaman Ramsey & Smith

December 4, 2009

As management of the Moorpark Redevelopment Agency (Agency), we offer readers of the Agency's financial statements this narrative overview and analysis of the financial activities of the Agency for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009. Readers are highly encouraged to consider the information presented here in conjunction with the accompanying basic financial statements which immediately follow this section.

FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

- The assets of the Agency exceeded its liabilities at the close of the most recent fiscal year by $10,522,000 (*net assets*).
- The Agency's total debt decreased by $464,000 during the current fiscal year due to the normal pay down of the principal.
- The Agency's governmental funds reported combined ending fund balances of $36,338,000, a decrease of $127,000 from the prior year.
- The Agency's gross property tax increment revenue during the current fiscal year increased by $167,000 to $7,054,000.
- The Agency received $1 million from the County of Ventura as part of a settlement agreement {see Notes 11 and 13}.

OVERVIEW OF THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

This discussion and analysis is intended to serve as an introduction to the Agency's basic financial statements. The Agency's basic financial statements comprise three components:

1) Government-wide financial statements
2) Fund financial statements
3) Notes to the financial statements

This report also contains other supplementary information in addition to the basic financial statements themselves.

Government-wide financial statements. These statements are designed to provide readers with a broad overview of the Agency's finances, in a manner similar to a private-sector business.

The *statement of net assets* presents information on all of the Agency's assets and liabilities, with the difference between the two reported as *net assets*. In time, increases or decreases in net assets may serve as a useful indicator of whether the financial position of the Agency is improving or deteriorating.

The *statement of activities* presents information on how the Agency's net assets changed during the fiscal year. All changes in net assets are reported as soon as the underlying event giving rise to the change occurs, *regardless of the timing of related cash flows*. Thus, revenues and expenses are reported in this statement for some items that will only result in cash flows in future fiscal periods; (i.e., uncollected taxes).

The government-wide financial statements include only the Agency itself.

Fund financial statements. A *fund* is a grouping of related accounts that is used to maintain control over resources that have been segregated for specific activities or objectives. The Agency, like other state and local governments, uses fund accounting to ensure and demonstrate compliance with finance-related legal requirements. All of the funds can be divided into three categories: governmental funds, proprietary funds and fiduciary funds. The Agency uses only governmental funds.

Governmental funds. *Governmental funds* are used to account for essentially the same functions reported as *governmental activities* in the government-wide financial statements. However, unlike the government-wide financial statements, governmental fund financial statements focus on *near-term inflows and outflows of spendable resources*, as well as on *balances of spendable resources* available at the end of the fiscal year. Such information may be useful in evaluating a government's near-term financing requirements.

Because the focus of governmental funds is narrower than that of the government-wide financial statements, it is useful to compare the information presented for *governmental funds* with similar information presented for *governmental activities* in the government-wide financial statements. By doing so, readers may better understand the long-term impact of the Agency's near-term financing decisions. Both the governmental fund balance sheet and the governmental fund statement of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances provide a reconciliation to facilitate this comparison between *governmental funds* and *governmental activities*.

The Agency maintains three individual governmental funds and all of them are considered to be major funds. Information is presented separately in the governmental fund balance sheet and in the governmental fund statement of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for the Low-Moderate Income Housing Fund, Debt Service Fund and the Capital Projects Fund.

The Agency adopts an annual appropriated budget for each of its governmental funds. A budgetary comparison statement has been provided for these funds to demonstrate compliance with the budget.

Notes to the financial statements. The notes provide additional information that is essential to a full understanding of the data provided in the government-wide and fund financial statements.

Supplementary Information. The Agency's Capital Projects Fund and Debt Service Fund Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances – Budget and Actual are presented.

GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL ANALYSIS

As noted earlier, net assets may serve over time as a useful indicator of a government's financial position. In the case of the Agency, assets exceeded liabilities by $10.5 million at the close of the most recent fiscal year. Of the $32.9 million in total liabilities, $28.4 million is outstanding debt for the 1999 Tax Allocation Bonds, 2001 Tax Allocation Bonds and 2006 Tax Allocation Bonds.

Table 1
Net Assets
Governmental Activities
As of June 30, 2009 and 2008

	2009	2008
Assets:		
Current and other assets	$ 25,649,579	$ 30,320,662
Capital assets	17,814,168	14,373,268
Total Assets	**43,463,747**	**44,693,930**
Liabilities:		
Long-term debt outstanding	28,422,563	28,906,716
Other liabilities	4,519,105	6,843,848
Total Liabilities	**32,941,668**	**35,750,564**
Net Assets:		
Restricted	6,912,421	6,247,751
Unrestricted	3,609,658	2,695,615
Total Net Assets	**$ 10,522,079**	**$ 8,943,366**

The Agency's net assets increased by $1.6 million during the 2008/09 fiscal year.

Table 2
Changes in Net Assets
Governmental Activities
As of June 30, 2009 and 2008

	2009	2008
Revenues		
Property tax - Redevelopment Agency tax increment	$ 7,054,432	$ 6,887,079
Investment income	1,954,274	1,519,173
Other/Rentals	76,285	51,453
County Settlement	1,000,000	0
Total Revenues, Transfers & Special Items	**10,084,991**	**8,457,705**
Expenses		
Public Services	6,889,436	5,427,627
Interest on long-term debt	1,616,842	1,773,841
Total Expenses	**8,506,278**	**7,201,468**
Increase/(decrease) in net assets	**$ 1,578,713**	**$ 1,256,237**

FINANCIAL ANALYSIS OF THE GOVERNMENT'S FUNDS

As noted earlier, the Agency uses fund accounting to ensure and demonstrate compliance with finance-related legal requirements.

Governmental funds. The focus of the Agency's *governmental funds* is to provide information on near-term inflows, outflows and balances of *spendable* resources. Such information is useful in assessing the Agency's financing requirements. In particular, *unreserved fund balance* may serve as a useful measure of a government's net resources available for spending at the end of the fiscal year.

As of the end of the current fiscal year, governmental funds reported combined ending fund balances of $36,338,000.

The Low-Moderate Income Housing Fund, a special revenue fund, is used to account for funds that are set aside for low and moderate income housing, as well as related expenditures. At the end of the current fiscal year, the fund balance was $6,929,000, the majority of which is in Land held for resale or development ($6,655,000).

The MRA Area 1 Operations Fund, a special revenue fund, is the chief operating fund of the Agency. At the end of the current fiscal year, the fund balance of the MRA Area 1 Operations Fund was $10,974,000, the majority of which is in Land held for resale or development ($9,117,000).

The Debt Service Funds account for the accumulation of resources to be used for the repayment of Agency debt. Their fund balances are mainly for the contractual reserves for the bond indentures of the 1999, 2001 and 2006 bond issuances.

The Agency has two Capital Projects Funds which account for the expenditures of the 2001 and 2006 bond proceeds. Their fund balances are approximately $16.3 million.

BUDGETARY HIGHLIGHTS

Supplemental appropriations were approved during the 2008/09 fiscal year for the purchase of property: Caltrans property, 1063, 1073, 1123 Walnut Canyon Road. Additional appropriations were required for: Area Housing Authority Loan, Walnut Canyon Storm Drain, road and parking lot improvements on High Street.

Capital projects budgeted during the year included: 81 First Street Building Construction, Property Acquisition/Rehabilitation, Moorpark Human Services Complex, Flinn Avenue Remnant Parcel Landscaping, Granary Station, Moorpark Avenue Widening from Casey to 3rd Street, High Street Streetscape, Metrolink Parking Lot Improvements, Post Office Off-site Improvements, Downtown Parking Lot and the Aszkenazy Project.

LONG-TERM DEBT

At the end of the current fiscal year, the Agency had total debt outstanding of $28,906,716.

Agency Outstanding Debt

	Governmental Activities June 30. 2009	Governmental Activities June 30. 2008
1999 Tax Allocation Bonds	$ 5,970,000	$ 6,430,000
2001 Tax Allocation Bonds	11,540,000	11,555,000
2006 Tax Allocation Bonds	11,396,716	11,385,869
Total	$ 28,906,716	$ 29,370,869

The Agency's total debt decreased by $464,000 during the current fiscal year, due to the normal pay down of the principal.

ECONOMIC FACTORS AND NEXT YEAR'S BUDGET AND RATES

- In addition to various Capital Improvement Projects, the Redevelopment Agency budgeted $240,000 for the High Street Arts Center expenditure in the upcoming fiscal year. The estimated revenue is approximately $81,000, resulting in a net loss of approximately $159,000. This loss will be absorbed by MRA Funds and is part of the revitalization efforts in the downtown area.

- Assessed property values are expected to have a nominal decrease.

- Interest income should decrease, reflecting the decrease in interest rates.

All of these factors were considered in preparing the Agency's budget for fiscal year 2009/10.

REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

This financial report is designed to provide a general overview of the Moorpark Redevelopment Agency's finances for all those with an interest in the Agency's finances. Questions concerning any of the information provided in this report or requests for additional financial information should be addressed to the Finance Department, Moorpark Redevelopment Agency, 799 Moorpark Avenue, Moorpark, California 93021.

BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

YEAR ENDED – JUNE 30, 2009

Moorpark Redevelopment Agency
Statement of Net Assets
June 30, 2009

	Governmental Activities
ASSETS	
Cash and Investments	$ 19,765,894
Receivables:	
Accounts	25,770
Interest	1,341,939
Notes	1,846,684
Due from City of Moorpark	54,577
Prepaid Items	5,200
Property Held for Resale/Development	17,814,168
Restricted Cash and Investments	2,146,058
Debt Issuance Costs	463,457
Total Assets	43,463,747
LIABILITIES	
Accounts Payable and Accrued Liabilities	3,596,483
Interest Payable	347,022
Deposits	11,750
Due to the City of Moorpark	79,697
Noncurrent Liabilities:	
Due Within One Year	484,153
Due in More Than One Year	28,422,563
Total Liabilities	32,941,668
NET ASSETS	
Restricted for:	
Low and Moderate Income Housing	6,912,421
Unrestricted	3,609,658
Total Net Assets	$ 10,522,079

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.

Moorpark Redevelopment Agency
Statement of Activities
Year Ended June 30, 2009

		Program Revenues			
Functions/Programs	Expenses	Charges for Services	Operating Grants and Contributions	Capital Grants and Contributions	Net (Expense) Revenue
Governmental Activities:					
Public Services	$ 6,889,436	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ (6,889,436)
Interest on Long-Term Debt	1,616,842	-	-	-	(1,616,842)
Total Governmental Activities	$ 8,506,278	$ -	$ -	$ -	(8,506,278)

General Revenues:	
Taxes:	
Property Tax, Redevelopment Agency Tax Increment	7,054,432
Investment Income	1,954,274
Other	76,285
Special Items:	
County Settlement	1,000,000
Total General Revenues	10,084,991
Change in Net Assets	1,578,713
Total Net Assets, Beginning	8,943,366
Total Net Assets, Ending	$ 10,522,079

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.

MOORPARK REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY
Balance Sheet
Governmental Funds
June 30, 2009

	Special Revenue Funds		Debt Service Funds	
	Low and Moderate Income Housing	MRA Area 1 Operations	MRA Debt Service	1999 Tax Allocation Bonds
ASSETS				
Cash and Investments	$ 621,582	$ 5,018,031	$	$
Imprest Cash		1,500		
Cash with Fiscal Agent				1,027,730
Receivables				
Accounts	4,488	17,952		
Interest		1,253,181		
Notes	141,898	1,704,786		
Due from City of Moorpark		54,577		
Due from Other Funds				
Prepaid items	5,200			
Property Held for Resale/Development	6,655,250	9,117,374		
Total Assets	$ 7,428,418	$ 17,167,401	$ -	$ 1,027,730
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCES				
Liabilities				
Accounts Payable	$ 118,569	$ 3,156,879	$	$
Accrued Wages and Withholdings	800	17,780		
Due to the City of Moorpark	2,110	60,301		
Due to other Funds	350,000			
Deferred Revenue	16,384	2,957,967		
Deposits	11,750			
Total Liabilities	499,613	6,192,927	-	-
Fund Balances:				
Reserved for				
Prepaid Items	5,200			
Debt Service				771,100
Property Held for Resale/Development	6,655,250	9,117,374		
Unreserved	268,355	1,857,100		256,630
Total Fund Balances	6,928,805	10,974,474	-	1,027,730
Total Liabilities and Fund Balances	$ 7,428,418	$ 17,167,401	$ -	$ 1,027,730

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.

MOORPARK REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY
Balance Sheet
Governmental Funds
June 30, 2009

Debt Service Funds		Capital Projects Funds		
2001 Tax Allocation Bonds	2006 Tax Allocation Bonds	2001 Bond Proceeds	2006 Bond Proceeds	Total Governmental Funds
$	$	$ 4,492,220	$ 9,632,561	$ 19,764,394
				1,500
584,674	533,654			2,146,058
		3,330		25,770
		88,758		1,341,939
				1,846,684
				54,577
		350,000		350,000
				5,200
		2,041,544		17,814,168
$ 584,674	$ 533,654	$ 6,975,852	$ 9,632,561	$ 43,350,290
$	$	$ 302,455	$	$ 3,577,903
				18,580
		13,091	4,195	79,697
				350,000
				2,974,351
				11,750
-	-	315,546	4,195	7,012,281
				5,200
584,674	528,948			1,884,722
		2,041,544		17,814,168
	4,706	4,618,762	9,628,366	16,633,919
584,674	533,654	6,660,306	9,628,366	36,338,009
$ 584,674	$ 533,654	$ 6,975,852	$ 9,632,561	$ 43,350,290

Moorpark Redevelopment Agency
Reconciliation of the Balance Sheet of Governmental Funds
to the Statement of Net Assets
June 30, 2009

Fund balances of governmental funds	$ 36,338,009
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the Statement of Net Assets are different because:	
Capital assets used in governmental activities are not current financial resources. Therefore, they were not reported in the Governmental Funds Balance Sheet.	-
Long-term loans and notes receivable are not current financial resources. Therefore, they are deferred in the governmental funds.	2,974,351
Other long-term assets are not available to pay for current period expenditures and, therefore, are deferred in the funds.	-
Interest expenditures are recognized when due, and therefore, interest payable is not recorded in the governmental funds.	(347,022)
Long-term liabilities are not due and payable in the current period and, therefore, are not reported in the governmental funds.	
Bonds Payable	(29,205,000)
Less: Issuance Discount	298,284
Issuance costs net of accumulated amortization were recorded as expenditures in the governmental funds.	463,457
Net assets of governmental activities	$ 10,522,079

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.

This page intentionally left blank

MOORPARK REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances
Governmental Funds
For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

	Special Revenue Funds		Debt Service Funds	
	Low and Moderate Income Housing	MRA Area 1 Operations	MRA Debt Service	1999 Tax Allocation Bonds
REVENUES				
Taxes	$	$	$ 7,054,432	$
Use of Money and Property	28,494	44,595	224,688	
Other Revenues		69,295		
Total Revenues	28,494	113,890	7,279,120	-
EXPENDITURES				
Current				
Public Services				
Pass-Thru Agreements			3,366,956	
Administration	319,485	1,503,760	173,689	
Capital Outlay	234,174	347,509		
Debt Service:				
Principal Retirement			475,000	
Interest on Bonds	36,166		1,397,921	
Interest on Debt to City			159,975	
Total Expenditures	589,825	1,851,269	5,573,541	-
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues over Expenditures	(561,331)	(1,737,379)	1,705,579	-
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)				
Transfers In	1,410,886	5,777,516	152,117	1,027,730
Transfers Out	(152,117)		(4,488,137)	
Total Other Financing Sources (Uses)	1,258,769	5,777,516	(4,336,020)	1,027,730
SPECIAL ITEMS				
County Settlement			1,000,000	
Net Change in Fund Balances	697,438	4,040,137	(1,630,441)	1,027,730
Fund Balances, July 1, 2008	6,231,367	6,934,337	1,630,441	-
Fund Balances, June 30, 2009	$ 6,928,805	$ 10,974,474	$ -	$ 1,027,730

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.

MOORPARK REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances
Governmental Funds
For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

Debt Service Funds		Capital Projects Funds		
2001 Tax Allocation Bonds	2006 Tax Allocation Bonds	2001 Bond Proceeds	2006 Bond Proceeds	Total Governmental Funds
$	$	$	$	$ 7,054,432
		201,624	201,692	701,093
		6,990		76,285
-	-	208,614	201,692	7,831,810
				3,366,956
		126,380		2,123,314
		664,067	153,416	1,399,166
				475,000
				1,434,087
				159,975
-	-	790,447	153,416	8,958,498
-	-	(581,833)	48,276	(1,126,688)
584,674	533,654			9,486,577
		(4,846,323)		(9,486,577)
584,674	533,654	(4,846,323)	-	-
				1,000,000
584,674	533,654	(5,428,156)	48,276	(126,688)
-	-	12,088,462	9,580,090	36,464,697
$ 584,674	$ 533,654	$ 6,660,306	$ 9,628,366	$ 36,338,009

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.

Moorpark Redevelopment Agency
Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Activities
Year Ended June 30, 2009

Net change in fund balances-total governmental funds	$	(126,688)
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of activities are different because:		
Governmental funds report capital outlays as expenditures. However, in the Statement of Activities, the cost of those assets is allocated over their estimated useful lives as depreciation expense or are allocated to the appropriate functional expense when the cost is below the capitalization threshold. This activity is reconciled as follows:		
Cost of Assets Capitalized		-
Depreciation		-
Governmental funds report revenues when notes receivable are repaid and expenditures when new notes are funded. These changes in notes receivable are not reflected in the Statement of Activities. This amount represents the current year change in notes receivable.		1,253,181
Revenues in the Statement of Activities that do not provide current financial resources are not reported as revenues in the funds.		
The issuance of long-term debt (e.g., bonds, leases) provides current financial resources to governmental funds, while the repayment of the principal or long-term debt consumes the current financial resources of governmental funds. Neither transaction, however, has any effect on net assets. Also, governmental funds report the effect of issuance costs, premiums, discounts, and similar items when debt is first issued, whereas these amounts are deferred and amortized in the Statement of Activities. The detail of these differences in the treatment of long-term debt is as follows:		
Debt Issued or Incurred:		
Principal Repayments		475,000
Amortization of Issuance Costs		(16,852)
Amortization of Bond Discounts		(10,847)
Accrued Interest for Tax Allocation Bonds. This is the net change in accrued interest for the current period.		4,919
Change in Net Assets of Governmental Activities	$	1,578,713

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.

Moorpark Redevelopment Agency
Notes to Financial Statements
Year Ended June 30, 2009

1) REPORTING ENTITY AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The accounting policies of the Moorpark Redevelopment Agency (Agency) conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America as applicable to governments. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) is the accepted standard setting body for governmental accounting and financial reporting principles. The following is a summary of the significant policies.

A) Reporting Entity

The Agency is a separate governmental entity created in 1987, pursuant to the Community Redevelopment Law of the State of California Health and Safety Code. It has been included as a component unit of the City of Moorpark (City) for purposes of the City's annual financial report. The Agency has responsibility for elimination of blight within the limits of the project area by preparing and carrying out redevelopment plans for area improvements and rehabilitation.

The Agency's primary source of revenue comes from property taxes (tax increment), referred to in the accompanying financial statements as "taxes". The assessed valuation of all property within the project area is determined on the date of adoption of the Redevelopment Plan. Property taxes related to the incremental increase in assessed values after the adoption of the Redevelopment Plan are allocated to the Agency; all taxes on the "frozen" assessed valuation of the property are allocated to the City and other districts.

The Agency has no power to levy and collect taxes and any legislative property tax de-emphasis might reduce the amount of tax revenues that would otherwise be available to pay the principal and interest on debt. Broadened property tax exemptions could have a similar effect. Conversely, any increase in the tax rate or assessed valuation, or any reduction or elimination of present exemptions would increase the amount of tax revenues that would be available to pay principal and interest on debt.

Members of the City Council act as the governing body of the Agency. The Agency is also staffed by employees of the City.

B) Basis of Presentation

Government-Wide Financial Statements

The Government-wide financial statements (i.e., the Statement of Net Assets and the Statement of Activities) report information on all of the nonfiduciary activities of the primary government and its component units. For the most part, the effect of interfund activity has been removed from these

1) REPORTING ENTITY AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES - Continued

B) Basis of Presentation - Continued

statements. Governmental activities, which normally are supported by taxes and intergovernmental revenues, are reported separately from business-type activities, which rely to a significant extent on fees and charges for support. All Agency activities are governmental; no business-type activities are reported in these financial statements.

The Statement of Activities demonstrates the degree to which the direct expenses of given functions or segments are offset by program revenues. Direct expenses are expenses that are clearly identifiable with a specific program, project, function or segment. Program revenues of the Agency include: 1) charges to customers or applicants who purchase, use, or directly benefit from goods, services, or privileges provided by a given function or segment; and 2) grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular function or segment.

Taxes and other items that are properly included among program revenues are reported instead as general revenues.

Fund Financial Statements
Separate financial statements are provided for governmental funds. Major individual governmental funds are reported as separate columns in the fund financial statements.

C) Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting, and Financial Statement Presentation

The government-wide financial statements are reported using the *economic resources measurement focus* and the *accrual basis of accounting.* Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Property taxes are recognized as revenues in the year for which they are levied. Grants and similar items are recognized as revenue as soon as all eligibility requirements imposed by the providers have been met.

Governmental fund financial statements are reported using the *current financial resources measurement focus* and the *modified accrual basis of accounting*. Revenues are recognized as soon as they are both measurable and available. Revenues are considered to be available when they are collected within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. For this purpose, the Agency considers revenues to be available if they are collected

1) REPORTING ENTITY AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES - Continued

C) Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting, and Financial Statement Presentation - Continued

within 60 days of the end of the current fiscal period. Expenditures generally are recorded when a liability is incurred as under accrual accounting. However, debt service expenditures are recorded only when payment is due.

Interest associated with the current fiscal period is considered to be susceptible to accrual, and is therefore recognized as revenues of the current fiscal period.

The Agency reports the following major governmental funds:

Special Revenue Funds

Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund - To account for housing set aside required under redevelopment laws of the State of California.

MRA Area 1 Operations Fund - To account for monies received and expended within the project area in accordance with the Redevelopment Plan of the Agency made pursuant to redevelopment laws of the State of California.

Debt Service Funds

MRA Debt Service Fund - To accumulate monies for the payment of interest and principal of the 1999, 2001, and 2006 Tax Allocation Bonds. Debt service is financed via the incremental property tax from the Moorpark Redevelopment Agency.

1999 Tax Allocation Bonds Fund - To accumulate monies for the payment of interest and principal of the 1999 Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds. Debt service is financed via the incremental property tax from the Moorpark Redevelopment Agency.

2001 Tax Allocation Bonds Fund - To accumulate monies for the payment of interest and principal of the 2001 Tax Allocation Bonds. Debt service is financed via the incremental property tax from the Moorpark Redevelopment Agency.

2006 Tax Allocation Bonds Fund - To accumulate monies for the payment of interest and principal of the 2006 Tax Allocation Bonds. Debt service is financed via the incremental property tax from the Moorpark Redevelopment Agency.

1) REPORTING ENTITY AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES - Continued

C) Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting, and Financial Statement Presentation - Continued

Capital Projects Funds

2001 Bond Proceeds Fund - Development fund for the 2001 Tax Allocation Bonds proceeds.

2006 Bond Proceeds Fund - Development fund for the 2006 Tax Allocation Bonds proceeds.

As a general rule, the effect of inter-fund activity has been eliminated from the government-wide financial statements. Direct expenses have not been eliminated from the functional categories; indirect expenses and internal payments have been eliminated, if any.

When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, it is the Agency's policy to use restricted resources first, and then use unrestricted resources as they are needed.

D) Budgetary Controls and Encumbrances

The Agency adopts an annual budget using the modified-accrual basis of accounting, consistent with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Budgetary controls are established at the department level. At year end, unexpended appropriations lapse.

The Agency Executive Director may transfer budget appropriations between major categories within a fund in conformance with the policies set by the Agency Board. Any major changes or amendments must be approved by the Agency Board. Adopted budget and budget amendments made during the year are reflected in the accompanying financial statements.

E) Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that effect certain reported amounts and disclosures. Accordingly, actual results could differ from those estimates.

F) Investments

The Agency has adopted the provisions of GASB Statement No. 31, "Accounting and Financial Reporting for Certain Investments and External Pools", which require governmental entities to report certain investments at fair value in the balance sheet and recognize the corresponding

1) REPORTING ENTITY AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES - Continued

F) Investments - continued

change in the fair value of investments in the year in which the change occurred. In accordance with GASB Statement No. 31, the Agency has adjusted certain investments to fair value (when material).

G) Property Held for Resale/Development

Property held for resale/development represents land and buildings (properties) purchased by the Agency. Such properties are valued at the lower of cost or estimated net realizable value (as determined by a disposition and development agreement between the Agency and a developer) and has been offset by a reservation of fund balance to indicate that assets constitute future capital projects and are not available spendable resources. The balance outstanding at June 30, 2009 was $17,814,168.

H) Capital Assets

Capital assets, if any, are reported in Governmental Activities column of the Government-wide Financial Statements. Capital assets are defined by the Agency as vehicles, computers and equipment with an initial individual cost of more than $5,000. Such assets are recorded at historical cost or estimated historical cost if purchased or constructed. Donated or annexed capital assets are recorded at estimated market value at the date of donation or annexation.

I) Property Taxes

The Agency receives incremental property taxes on property within its project area over a base-assessed valuation on the date the project area was established.

The duties of assessing and collecting property taxes are performed by the Ventura County Assessor and Tax Collector, respectively. Tax levies cover the period from July 1 to June 30 of each year. All tax liens attach annually on the first day in January preceding the fiscal year for which the taxes are levied. Taxes are levied on both real and personal property, as it exists on that date.

Secured property taxes are levied against real property and are due and payable in two equal installments. The first installment is due on November 1 and becomes delinquent if not paid by December 10. The second installment is due on February 1 and become delinquent if not paid by April 10. Unsecured personal property taxes are due on July 1 each year. These taxes become delinquent if not paid by August 31.

1) REPORTING ENTITY AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES - Continued

J) Relationship to the City of Moorpark

The Agency is an integral part of the reporting entity of the City of Moorpark (City). The funds of the Agency have been blended within the financial statements of the City because the City Council of the City of Moorpark is the governing board of the Agency and exercises control over the operations of the Agency. Only the funds of the Agency are included herein; therefore, these financial statements do not purport to represent the financial position or the results of operations of the City of Moorpark.

2) CASH AND INVESTMENTS

Cash and investments as of June 30, 2009 are classified in the accompanying financial statements as follows:

Statement of Net Assets:	
Cash and Investments	$ 19,765,894
Restricted Cash and Investments	2,146,058
Total Cash and Investments	$ 21,911,952

Cash and investments as of June 30, 2009, consist of the following:

Unrestricted:	
Demand Deposits	$ 39,300
Cash on Hand	1,500
Investments	19,725,094
Total Unrestricted Cash and Investments	19,765,894
Restricted Cash and Investments (Held by Fiscal Agent)	
Money Market Funds	1,561,384
CDC Investment Agreement	584,674
Total Restricted Cash and Investments	2,146,058
Total Cash and Investments	$ 21,911,952

2) CASH AND INVESTMENTS - Continued

Investments Authorized by the Agency's Investment Policy

The Agency's investment policy only authorizes investment in the local government investment pool administered by the State of California (LAIF) and pooled cash and investment with the City. The Agency's investment policy also identifies certain provisions of the California Government Code that address interest rate risk, credit risk, and concentration of credit risk. Detailed information concerning the City's pooled cash and investments can be found in the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for the year ended June 30, 2009.

Investments Authorized by Debt Agreements

Investment of debt proceeds held by the bond trustees are governed by provisions of the debt agreements, rather than the general provisions of the California Government Code or the Agency's investment policy. The table below identifies the investment types that are authorized for investments held by bond trustees. The table also identifies certain provisions of these debt agreements that address interest rate risk, credit risk, and concentration of credit risk.

Authorized Investment Type	Maximum Maturity
U.S. Treasury Obligations	None
U.S. Agency Securities	None
Banker's Acceptances	180 Days
Commercial Paper	270 Days
Money Market Mutual Funds	N/A
Investment Contracts	30 years

Disclosures Relating to Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in market interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Generally, the longer the maturity of an investment, the greater the sensitivity of its fair value to changes in market interest rates. As of year end, the weighted average maturity of the investments contained in the LAIF investment pool was less than one year.

Information about the sensitivity of the fair values of the Entity's investments to market interest rate fluctuations is provided by the following table that shows the maturity of each investment:

2) CASH AND INVESTMENTS - Continued

Investment Type		Value	Maturity
State Investment Pool	$	19,725,094	Less than One Year
Money Market Funds		1,561,384	Less than One Year
CDC Investment Agreement		584,674	October 1, 2031
Total	$	21,871,152	

Disclosures Relating to Credit Risk

Generally, credit risk is the risk that an issuer of an investment will not fulfill its obligation to the holder of the investment. This is measured by the assignment of a rating by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization. The Agency's investment in LAIF, investment contracts, and money market fund do not have a rating provided by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization.

Concentration of Credit Risk

The investment policy of the Agency contains no limitations on the amount that can be invested in any one issuer beyond that stipulated by the California Government Code. The Agency did not have investments that represent more than 5% of the Agency's total investments (other than the LAIF).

Custodial Credit Risk

Custodial credit risk for deposits is the risk that, in the event of the failure of a depository financial institution, a government will not be able to recover its deposits or will not be able to recover collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party. The California Government Code and the Agency's investment policy do not contain legal or policy requirements that would limit the exposure to custodial credit risk for deposits, other than the following provision for deposits: The California Government Code requires that a financial institution secure deposits made by state or local governmental units by pledging securities in an undivided collateral pool held by a depository regulated under state law (unless so waived by the governmental unit). The market value of the pledged securities in the collateral pool must equal at least 110 percent of the total amount deposited by the public agencies. California law also allows financial institutions to secure public agency deposits by pledging first trust deed mortgage notes having a value of 150 percent of the secured public deposits.

2) CASH AND INVESTMENTS - Continued

All of the Agency's $39,300 demand deposits with financial institutions are covered by Federal depository insurance limits.

The custodial credit risk for investments is the risk that, in the event of the failure of the counterparty (e.g., broker-dealer) to a transaction, a government will not be able to recover the value of its investment or collateral securities that are in the possession of another party. The California Government Code and the Agency's investment policy do not contain legal or policy requirements that would limit the exposure to custodial credit risk for investments. With respect to investments, custodial credit risk generally applies only to direct investments in marketable securities. Custodial credit risk does not apply to a local government's indirect investment in securities through the use of mutual funds or government investment pools (such as LAIF).

Investment in State Investment Pool

The Agency is a voluntary participant in the Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF) that is regulated by the California Government Code under the oversight of the Treasurer of the State of California. The fair value of the Agency's investment in this pool is reported in the accompanying financial statements at amounts based upon the Agency's pro-rated share of the fair value provided by LAIF for the Agency LAIF portfolio (in relation to the amortized cost of that portfolio). The balance available for withdrawal is based on the accounting records maintained by LAIF, which are recorded on an amortized cost basis.

LAIF is a governmental investment pool managed and directed by the California State Treasurer and is not registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission. An oversight committee comprised of California State officials and various participants, provide oversight to the management of the fund. The daily operations and responsibilities of LAIF fall under the auspices of the State Treasurer's Office.

3) NOTES RECEIVABLE

Mission Bell Note

On August 2, 1995, the Agency entered into an agreement with Mission Bell Partners whereby in return for land disposition, the Agency received seven promissory notes totaling $3,934,500. The notes bear simple interest rates ranging from 4 percent to 6 percent per annum from August 29, 1995 until August 29, 2029. In June 2004, the Agency, per a settlement agreement, discharged three of the remaining six of the original seven promissory notes totaling $500,000. In September of 2006, notes number 2 and 6 were paid off. The balance of the remaining note (note no. 7) outstanding at June 30, 2009 was $1,704,786. Principal and interest are due on September 2, 2029.

Rehab Loans

The Agency operates a rehabilitation loan program for the renovation of low/moderate-income housing. The total balance outstanding at June 30, 2009 was $16,384.

3) NOTES RECEIVABLE - Continued

Other Notes Receivable

The Agency has entered into an agreement to loan the County of Ventura Area Housing Authority (AHA) up to $350,000 to assist in developing residential rental units on Agency owned property. As of June 30, 2009, the AHA has drawn down $125,514 on the available loan. The outstanding principal balance and interest are expected to be paid during the 2009/10 fiscal year.

4) PROPERY HELD FOR RESALE/DEVELOPMENT

Fiscal Year Acquired	Location	Carrying Value
1992-93	High Street/Moorpark Avenue SE Corner	$ 334,000
1993-94	18 High Street	425,162
1993-94	661 Moorpark Avenue	109,305
1999-00	APN 506-0-020-525	170,100
2000-01	285 High Street	110,737
2000-01	500 Spring Road	1,859,114
2000-01	782 Moorpark Avenue	115,271
2001-02	798 Moorpark Avenue	225,854
2001-02	83 High Street	883,244
2001-02	Fitch Avenue Cul-de-sac	1,022,164
2002-03	467 E High Street	451,439
2002-03	47-51 High Street	352,645
2003-04	81 Charles Street	339,491
2004-05	81 First Street	215,000
2005-06	347 Moorpark Avenue	668,713
2005-06	45 High Street	1,250,880
2006-07	1095 Walnut Canyon	374,464
2006-07	250 E Los Angles Avenue	578,814
2006-07	460 Charles Street	450,860
2006-07	765 Walnut Street	518,026
2006-07	Lots 69-82 Princeton Avenue	574,837
2007-08	1113 Walnut Canyon	411,800
2007-08	1123 Walnut Canyon	477,781
2007-08	1293 Walnut Canyon	535,103
2007-08	1331 Walnut Canyon	397,974
2007-08	18 High Street	107,800
2007-08	33 High Street	960,609
2007-08	450 Charles Street	520,217
2007-08	484 Charles Street	498,291
2008-09	1063 Walnut Canyon	464,859
2008-09	1073 Walnut Canyon	301,073
2008-09	512 Los Angeles Avenue	1,857,500
2008-09	780 Walnut Street	251,041
	Total Property Held for Resale/Development	$ 17,814,168

5) INTERFUND ACTIVITY

Interfund Transfers

With the Agency Board approval, resources may be transferred from one fund to another. Transfers between individual funds during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009 are presented below:

		TRANSFERS FROM			
		Low/Mod Housing Special Revenue	MRA Debt Service	2001 Bond Proceeds	Total
TRANSFER TO	Low/Mod Housing Special Revenue	$	$ 1,410,886	$	$ 1,410,886
	MRA Area 1 Operations		931,193	4,846,323	5,777,516
	MRA Debt Service	152,117			152,117
	1999 Tax Allocation Bonds		1,027,730		1,027,730
	2001 Tax Allocation Bonds		584,674		584,674
	2006 Tax Allocation Bonds		533,654		533,654
	Total	$ 152,117	$ 4,488,137	$ 4,846,323	$ 9,846,577

The MRA Debt Service Fund transferred funds to the Low/Mod Housing Special Revenue Fund to meet the low and moderate income housing 20 percent tax increment set-aside requirement.

The MRA Debt Service Fund transferred funds to the 1999, 2001, and 2006 Tax Allocation Bond Debt Service funds in an amount equal to the restricted cash for the three bond issues.

The MRA Debt Service Fund transferred its remaining equity to the MRA Area 1 Operations Fund.

The 2001 Bond Proceeds Fund transferred funds to the MRA Area 1 Operations Fund to reimburse for eligible capital expenditures.

The Low/Mod Housing Special Revenue Fund transferred funds to the MRA Debt Service Fund to pay the 20% debt service on the 1999 Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds.

Interfund Balances

During the fiscal year, the 2001 Bond Proceeds Fund advanced the Low and Moderate Housing Fund $350,000. This advance is expected to be paid back in the 2009/10 fiscal year.

6) DUE TO/DUE FROM THE CITY OF MOORPARK

The City's General Fund has advanced $2,110, $301, $13,091, and $4,195 to the Low and Moderate Income Housing, MRA Area 1 Operations, 2001 Bond Proceeds, and the 2006 Bond Proceeds funds respectively. These advances are expected to be paid back to the City's General Fund in 2009/10. The City's Capital Projects fund advanced $60,000 during the fiscal year to the MRA Area 1 Operations fund. This advance is expected to be paid back to the City's Capital Projects Fund in 2009/10. Also, during the fiscal year, the Agency's Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund advanced $54,577 to the City's General Fund. This advance is expected to be paid back to the Agency's Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund in 2009/10.

7) LONG-TERM DEBT

Changes in long-term debt for the year ended June 30, 2009 are as follows:

	Balance Beginning of Year	Additions	Reductions	Balance End of Year	Due Within One Year
1999 Tax Allocation Bonds	$ 6,430,000	$	$ (460,000)	$ 5,970,000	$ 475,000
2001 Tax Allocation Bonds	11,555,000		(15,000)	11,540,000	20,000
2006 Tax Allocation Bonds	11,695,000			11,695,000	
Discount on Bonds	(309,131)		10,847	(298,284)	(10,847)
Totals	$ 29,370,869	$ -	$ (464,153)	$ 28,906,716	$ 484,153

<u>1999 Tax Allocation Bonds</u>

In 1999, the Moorpark Redevelopment Agency issued a $9,860,000 aggregated principal amount of Moorpark Redevelopment Project 1999 Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds (1999 Bonds). The purpose of the 1999 Bonds was to advance refund the Agency's previously issued $10,000,000 Moorpark Redevelopment Project, 1993 Tax Allocation Bonds (1993 Bonds). The purpose of the 1993 Bonds was to finance a portion of the costs of implementing the Redevelopment Plan, including low and moderate income housing projects.

The 1999 Bonds bear interest at rates ranging from 3.05 percent to 4.875 percent per annum, payable semi-annually on April 1 and October 1 of each year, commencing on October 1, 1999 and are subject to mandatory sinking fund redemption commencing on October 1, 2009 and on each October 1 thereafter. The 1999 Bonds are payable from and secured by the tax revenues to be derived from the project area.

7) LONG-TERM DEBT - Continued

The 1999 Bonds are secured by all property tax increment revenues, which are deposited in the Debt Service Fund. Cash and investments in the custody of the fiscal agent are restricted by the bond resolutions for payment of principal and interest on the Tax Allocation Bonds. In addition, the bond resolutions require retention of funds held by the fiscal agent prior to use for other than debt service.

The Agency is in compliance with the covenants contained in debt indentures, which require the establishment of certain specific accounts for the Tax Allocation Bonds.

Debt service payments on the 1999 Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds payable will be made from the Debt Service Fund. Annual debt service requirements to maturity are as follows:

Year Ended	Tax Allocation Bonds		
June 30,	Principal	Interest	Total
2010	$ 475,000	$ 279,459	$ 754,459
2011	500,000	255,694	755,694
2012	525,000	230,709	755,709
2013	550,000	204,506	754,506
2014	580,000	176,962	756,962
2015-2019	3,340,000	422,905	3,762,905
Total	$ 5,970,000	$ 1,570,235	$ 7,540,235

2001 Tax Allocation Bonds

In December 2001, the Moorpark Redevelopment Agency issued $11,625,000 of Tax Allocation Parity Bonds (2001 Bonds). The proceeds of the 2001 Bonds are to be used to fund redevelopment activities within the Moorpark Redevelopment Project area. Interest on the 2001 Bonds is payable semi-annually on April 1 and October 1, commencing April 1, 2002, at rates ranging from 2.85 percent to 5.13 percent per annum. The 2001 Bonds maturing October 2031 are subject to mandatory sinking fund redemption. The 2001 Bonds are payable from and secured by the tax revenues to be derived from the project area.

7) LONG-TERM DEBT - Continued

The 2001 Bonds are secured by all property tax increment revenues, which are deposited in the Debt Service Fund. Cash and investments in the custody of the fiscal agent are restricted by the bond resolutions for payment of principal and interest on the Tax Allocation Bonds. In addition, the bond resolution requires retention of funds held by the fiscal agent prior to use for other than debt service.

The Agency is in compliance with the covenants contained in debt indentures, which require the establishment of certain specific accounts for the Tax Allocation Bonds.

Debt service payments on the 2001 Tax Allocation Parity Bonds payable will be made from the Debt Service Fund. Annual debt service requirements to maturity are as follows:

Year Ended	Tax Allocation Bonds		
June 30,	Principal	Interest	Total
2010	$ 20,000	$ 588,469	$ 608,469
2011	15,000	587,743	602,743
2012	15,000	587,098	602,098
2013	20,000	586,319	606,319
2014	15,000	585,525	600,525
2015-2019	100,000	2,913,875	3,013,875
2020-2024	3,530,000	2,473,206	6,003,206
2025-2029	4,520,000	1,449,095	5,969,095
2030-2032	3,305,000	259,454	3,564,454
Total	$ 11,540,000	$ 10,030,784	$ 21,570,784

2006 Tax Allocation Bonds

In 2006, the Moorpark Redevelopment Agency issued an $11,695,000 aggregated principal amount of Moorpark Redevelopment Project 2006 Tax Allocation Bonds (2006 Bonds). The purpose of the 2006 Bonds was to finance redevelopment activities within the Moorpark Redevelopment Project Area. The 2006 Bonds bear interest at rates ranging from 3.625 percent to 4.375 percent per annum, payable semi-annually on April 1 and October 1 of each year, commencing on April 1, 2007, and are subject to mandatory sinking fund redemption commencing on October 1, 2016, and on each October 1 thereafter. The 2006 Bonds are payable from and secured by the tax revenues to be derived from the project area.

7) LONG-TERM DEBT - Continued

The 2006 Bonds are secured by all property tax increment revenue, which is recorded in the Debt Service Fund. Cash and investments in the custody of the fiscal agent are restricted by the bond resolutions for payment of principal and interest on the Tax Allocation Bonds.

The Agency is in compliance with the covenants contained in the debt indenture, which require the establishment of certain specific accounts for the Tax Allocation Bonds.

Debt service payments on the 2006 Tax Allocation Bonds payable will be made from the Debt Service Fund. Annual debt service requirements to maturity are as follows:

Year Ending	Tax Allocation Bonds		
June 30,	Principal	Interest	Total
2010	$	$ 508,163	$ 508,163
2011	40,000	507,437	547,437
2012	40,000	505,987	545,987
2013	35,000	504,628	539,628
2014	40,000	503,269	543,269
2015-2019	225,000	2,492,706	2,717,706
2020-2024	280,000	2,443,069	2,723,069
2025-2029	345,000	2,376,884	2,721,884
2030-3034	2,920,000	2,185,313	5,105,313
2035-2039	7,770,000	878,937	8,648,937
Total	$ 11,695,000	$ 12,906,393	$ 24,601,393

8) SHORT TERM DEBT

At the beginning of the fiscal year, the Agency borrowed $5,000,000 from the City for cash flow purposes throughout the year. The Agency repaid the balance before June 30, 2009.

9) CLASSIFICATION OF NET ASSETS AND FUND BALANCE

Net Assets

Net assets are the differences between assets and liabilities. Net assets invested in capital assets, net of related debt are capital assets, less accumulated depreciation and any outstanding debt related to the acquisition, construction or improvement of those assets. Net assets are reported as restricted when

9) CLASSIFICATION OF NET ASSETS AND FUND BALANCE - Continued

there are legal limitations imposed on their use by Agency legislation or external restrictions by other governments, creditors or grantors.

Fund Balance

Under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America a governmental entity may set up "reserves" of fund equity to segregate fund balances, which are not appropriable for expenditure in future periods, or which are legally set aside for a specific future use. Fund "designations" also may be established to indicate tentative plans for financial resources utilization in a future period.

10) EXPENDITURES IN EXCESS OF APPROPRIATIONS

The following fund had expenditures in excess of the budget in the following amounts for the year ended June 30, 2009:

MRA Debt Service Fund	$ 670,620

The variance of $670,620 is mainly a result of the required tax increment pass-through payments that were higher than budgeted reflecting the increase in property tax revenue received.

11) AGREEMENTS WITH VARIOUS TAXING AGENCIES

The Moorpark Redevelopment Agency has entered into five (5) agreements for allocation and distribution of tax increment revenues:

The first agreement is with the County of Ventura, County Library District, Ventura County Fire Protection District, and Ventura Flood Control District (collectively, the "County Taxing Entities"), which provides for the Agency to retain 100 percent of the County Taxing Entities share (55.82 percent) of annual tax increment revenues up to $1,750,000. For annual tax increment revenue in excess of $1,750,000, the Agency shall distribute 55.82 percent of such revenues to the County on behalf of the County Taxing Entities. The County Taxing Entities have agreed to defer payments in the initial years of the Redevelopment Plan, and consequently, the parties agree that the County Taxing Entities may receive payments in any single fiscal year in excess of the amount of tax revenues the County Taxing Entities would otherwise be entitled to, but for the adoption of the Redevelopment Plan.

11) AGREEMENTS WITH VARIOUS TAXING AGENCIES - Continued

Additionally, the agreement calls for the Agency to receive a $1,000,000 payment from the tax increment disbursed to the County pursuant to the agreement, by December 31, 2008, if and only if the Agency's annual debt statements which are filed with the County Auditor-Controller from fiscal year 1993/94 to fiscal year 2008/09 list debts in an amount equal to or in excess of the maximum tax increment available to the Agency in each of such fiscal years. (Also, see Note 15)

With respect to the first paragraph, 4.2 percent of the County Taxing Entities' share is allocated to the County Library District (aka County Free Library System). The City of Moorpark has withdrawn from the County Free Library System and now operates the Moorpark Library. Pursuant to the Memorandum of Understanding governing the County Free Library System, upon withdrawal, a city is entitled to all property taxes allocated to library purposes from within the corporate boundaries of such city. The County has agreed that the City of Moorpark is entitled to the share of annual tax increment previously allocated to the County Library District under the first agreement.

The second agreement is with the City of Moorpark Vector Control, formerly known as the Moorpark Mosquito Abatement District and states that the City of Moorpark Vector Control shall receive 87.5 percent of its share (1.53 percent) of annual tax increment revenue, following a deduction from total increment revenues for amounts required to be used for housing purposes (currently 20 percent of total tax increment revenue).

The third agreement is with the Moorpark Unified School District (the School District), and states that the School District shall receive, after the Agency has satisfied debt service payments to bond or note holders or to the holder of any other instruments of Agency indebtedness (provided such indebtedness is not reasonably foreseeable to impair the Agency's obligation under the agreement), the School District's share (33.41 percent) of tax increment revenues generated by an annual 2 percent increase in assessed valuation, and beginning in fiscal year 1995/96, 14 percent of the School District's share of annual tax increment revenue.

Per the agreement between the School District and the Moorpark Redevelopment Agency, the distributions to the School District shall be expended for the following purposes at school sites in the incorporated boundaries of the City:

1. Telephone systems for new buildings;
2. Computer hardware and educational systems;
3. Land acquisition;

11) AGREEMENTS WITH VARIOUS TAXING AGENCIES - Continued

4. Books; and
5. School buildings and facilities and related capital improvements and modernization projects (collectively "public works"); such public works may include design, inspection and administration costs, but not School District overhead or salary/benefits for regular School District employees.

The Agency may pre-approve other proposed expenditures that are submitted in writing by the School District.

The fourth agreement is with the Ventura County Community College District (the Community College District), and states that the Community College District will receive, after the Agency has satisfied debt service payments to bond or note holders or to the holders of any other instruments of agency indebtedness (provided such indebtedness is not reasonably foreseeable to impair the Agency's obligation under the agreement), the Community College District's share (5.81 percent) of tax increment revenues generated by an annual 2 percent increase in assessed valuation, and beginning in fiscal year 1993/94, 14 percent of the Community College District's share of annual tax increment revenue.

An agreement, dated May 1, 2008, between the City and the Community College District redirects the Community College District's tax increment allocation. The Agency shall transfer to the City the Community College District's tax increment allocations, up to One Million Dollars ($1,000,000), beginning with fiscal year 2006/07 and for every fiscal year thereafter through and including the 2024/25 fiscal year for the purpose of constructing certain public improvements near Moorpark College.

The fifth agreement is with the Ventura County Superintendent of School Office (the Superintendent), and states that the Superintendent shall receive its share (2.49 percent) of tax increment revenues generated by an annual 2 percent increase in assessed valuation.

12) LOW AND MODERATE INCOME HOUSING SET ASIDE

The California Health and Safety Code Section 33334.2 requires a redevelopment agency to use at least 20 percent of tax increment revenues generated by a redevelopment project area to increase and improve the supply of low and moderate income housing in the community. Accordingly, the Agency's unspent commitment for its low and moderate income housing program amounted to $268,355 and has been reflected as unreserved fund balance in the Agency's Low and Moderate Income Special Revenue Fund.

13) RETIREMENT PLAN

A) Plan Description

Employees of the Moorpark Redevelopment Agency are all City employees. The City of Moorpark contributes to the California Public Employees Retirement System (CalPERS), a cost-sharing multiple-employer public employee defined benefit pension plan. CalPERS provides retirement and disability benefits, annual cost-of-living adjustments, and death benefits to plan members and beneficiaries. CalPERS acts as a common investment and administrative agent for participating public entities within the State of California. Benefit provisions and all other requirements are established by state statute and city ordinance. Copies of CalPERS' annual financial report may be obtained from their executive office: 400 P Street, Sacramento, California 95814.

B Funding Policy

Active plan members are required to contribute 7% of their covered salary. The City of Moorpark makes the contribution required of the City employees on their behalf. The City is also required to make an additional contribution at an actuarially determined rate. The required employer contribution rate for the fiscal year 2008/09 was 11.607%. The contribution requirements for plan members are established by State statute and the employer contribution rate is established and may be amended by CalPERS. The following represents the required contributions for the past three fiscal years:

Fiscal Year	Required Contributions		Percent Contributed
2006/07	$	455,376	100%
2007/08	$	448,187	100%
2008/09	$	491,357	100%

14) RISK MANAGEMENT

A) Description of Self-Insurance Pool Pursuant to Joint Powers Agreement

The City (which includes the Moorpark Redevelopment Agency) is a member of the California Joint Powers Insurance Authority (Authority). The Authority is composed of over 100 California public entities and is organized under a joint powers agreement pursuant to California Government Code 6500 et seq. The purpose of the Authority is to arrange and administer programs for the pooling of self-insured losses, to purchase excess insurance or reinsurance, and to arrange for group-purchased insurance for property and other coverages. The Authority's pool began covering claims of its members in 1978. Each member government has an elected official as its representative on the Board of Directors. The Board operates through a nine-member Executive Committee.

14) RISK MANAGEMENT - Continued

B) Self Insurance Programs of the Authority

General Liability: Each member government pays a primary deposit to cover estimated losses for a fiscal year (claims year). Six months after the close of a fiscal year, outstanding claims are valued. A retrospective deposit computation is then made for each open claims year. Costs are spread to members as follows: the first $30,000 of each occurrence is charged directly to the member; costs from $30,001 to $750,000 are pooled based on a member's share of costs under $30,000; costs from $50,001 to $5,000,000 are pooled based on payroll. Cost of covered claims above $5,000,000 are currently paid by reinsurance. The Protection for each member is $50,000,000 per occurrence and $50,000,000 annual aggregate.

Workers' Compensation: The City also participates in the workers compensation pool administered by the Authority. Members retain the first $50,000 of each claim. Claims are pooled separately between public safety and non-public safety. Loss development reserves are allocated by pool and by loss layer ($0 to $100,000 allocated by retained amount and $100,000 to $2,000,000 by payroll). Losses from $50,000 to $100,000 and the loss development reserve associated with losses up to $100,000 are pooled based on the member's share of losses under $50,000. Losses from $100,000 to $2,000,000 are pooled based on payroll. Costs in excess of $50,000,000 are pooled among the Members based on payroll. Administrative expenses are paid from the Authority's investment earnings.

C) Purchased Insurance

The City participates in the all-risk property protection program of the Authority. This insurance protection is underwritten by several insurance companies. The City property is currently insured according to a schedule of covered property submitted by the City to the Authority. Total all-risk property insurance coverage is $25,067,394. There is a $5,000 per loss deductible. Premiums for the coverage are paid annually and are not subject to retroactive adjustments.

D) Earthquake and Flood Insurance

The City purchased earthquake and flood insurance on a portion of its property. The earthquake insurance is part of the property protection insurance program of the Authority. The City property currently has earthquake protection in the amount of $20,504,842. There is a deductible of 5 percent of the value with a minimum deduction of $100,000. Premiums for the coverage are paid annually and are not subject to retroactive adjustments.

14) RISK MANAGEMENT - Continued

E) Adequacy of Protection

During the past three fiscal (claims) years none of the above program of protection have had settlements or judgments that exceeded pooled or insured coverage. There have been no significant reductions in pooled or insured liability coverage from coverage in the prior year.

F) Claims and Judgments

The City accounts for uninsured, material claims and judgments and associated legal and administrative costs when it is probable that the liability claim has been incurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. Included therein are claims incurred but not reported, which consists of (a) known loss events expected to be presented as claims later, (b) unknown loss events that are expected to become claims, and (c) expected future development on claims already reported. This is based upon historical actual results that have established a reliable pattern supplemented by specific information about current matters. Small dollar claims and judgments are recorded as expenditures when paid.

15) SPECIAL ITEMS

The Agency received $1,000,000 from the County of Ventura resulting from a settlement agreement between the Agency and the County.

16) CONTINGENCIES/SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

There are certain legal actions currently pending against the Agency arising in the normal course of the Agency's operations. In the opinion of management and the Agency Attorney, the ultimate resolution of such actions is not expected to have a significant effect upon the financial statements of the Agency.

Subsequent to June 30, 2009, the State of California passed legislation to divert approximately $2.05 billion of local redevelopment funds to use for State purposes, as part of the 2009/10 State budget. This includes $1.7 billion in fiscal year 2009/10 and another $350 million in fiscal year 2010/11. The California Redevelopment Association (CRA) has filed a lawsuit in Sacramento Superior Court to challenge the constitutionality of this legislation. Currently, the effect that this legislation and resulting lawsuit will have on the Agency's future revenues is unknown. If the legislation is upheld in court then the Moorpark Redevelopment Agency's share is approximately $1,923,000 in fiscal year 2009/10 and $395,000 in fiscal year 2010/11.

REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Moorpark Redevelopment Agency
Notes to Required Supplementary Information
Year Ended June 30, 2009

A budgetary comparison schedule is presented as part of the required supplementary information for the major special revenue funds as provided for by GASB Statement No. 34. The budgetary comparison schedules for the remaining major funds are presented to aid in additional analysis and are not a required part of the basic financial statements.

Moorpark Redevelopment Agency
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances
Low and Moderate Income Housing Special Revenue Fund
Budget and Actual
Year Ended June 30, 2009

	Budgeted Amounts		Actual	Variances with Final Budget
	Original	Final	Amounts	Positive (Negative)
Revenues				
Use of Money and Property	$ 100,909	$ 100,909	$ 28,494	$ (72,415)
Total Revenues	100,909	100,909	28,494	(72,415)
Expenditures				
Current:				
Public Services				
Administration	2,120,229	2,199,571	319,485	1,880,086
Capital Outlay	378,950	443,366	234,174	209,192
Debt Service:				
Principal				-
Interest on Bonds	31,000	36,166	36,166	-
Total Expenditures	2,530,179	2,679,103	589,825	2,089,278
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues over Expenditures	(2,429,270)	(2,578,194)	(561,331)	2,016,863
Other Financing Sources (Uses)				
Transfers In	1,312,000	1,312,000	1,410,886	98,886
Transfers Out	(152,117)	(152,117)	(152,117)	-
Total Other Financing Sources (Uses)	1,159,883	1,159,883	1,258,769	98,886
Net Change in Fund Balance	(1,269,387)	(1,418,311)	697,438	2,115,749
Fund Balance, Beginning of Year	6,231,367	6,231,367	6,231,367	-
Fund Balance, End of Year	$ 4,961,980	$ 4,813,056	$ 6,928,805	$ 2,115,749

Moorpark Redevelopment Agency
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances
MRA Area 1 Operations Special Revenue Fund
Budget and Actual
Year Ended June 30, 2009

	Budgeted Amounts		Actual	Variances with Final Budget
	Original	Final	Amounts	Positive (Negative)
Revenues				
Use of Money and Property	$ 59,000	$ 59,000	$ 44,595	$ (14,405)
Other Revenue	73,850	96,643	69,295	(27,348)
Total Revenues	132,850	155,643	113,890	(41,753)
Expenditures				
Current:				
Public Services				
Administration	1,536,050	2,423,026	1,503,760	919,266
Capital Outlay	1,178,138	1,063,903	347,509	716,394
Debt Service:				
Principal				-
Interest				-
Total Expenditures	2,714,188	3,486,929	1,851,269	1,635,660
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues over Expenditures	(2,581,338)	(3,331,286)	(1,737,379)	1,593,907
Other Financing Sources (Uses)				
Transfers In			5,777,516	5,777,516
Transfers Out				-
Total Other Financing Sources (Uses)	-	-	5,777,516	5,777,516
Net Change in Fund Balance	(2,581,338)	(3,331,286)	4,040,137	7,371,423
Fund Balance, Beginning of Year	6,934,337	6,934,337	6,934,337	-
Fund Balance, End of Year	$ 4,352,999	$ 3,603,051	$ 10,974,474	$ 7,371,423

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Moorpark Redevelopment Agency
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances
MRA Debt Service
Budget and Actual
Year Ended June 30, 2009

	Budgeted Amounts		Actual	Variances with Final Budget
	Original	Final	Amounts	Positive (Negative)
Revenues				
Taxes	$ 6,560,000	$ 6,560,000	$ 7,054,432	$ 494,432
Use of Money and Property	342,060	342,060	224,688	(117,372)
Total Revenues	6,902,060	6,902,060	7,279,120	377,060
Expenditures				
Current:				
Public Services				
Pass-Thru Agreements	2,800,000	2,800,000	3,366,956	(566,956)
Administration			173,689	(173,689)
Debt Service:				
Principal	475,000	475,000	475,000	-
Interest on Bonds	1,397,921	1,397,921	1,397,921	-
Interest on Debt to City	230,000	230,000	159,975	70,025
Total Expenditures	4,902,921	4,902,921	5,573,541	(670,620)
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues over Expenditures	1,999,139	1,999,139	1,705,579	(293,560)
Other Financing Sources (Uses)				
Transfers In	152,117	152,117	152,117	-
Transfers Out	(1,312,000)	(1,312,000)	(4,488,137)	(3,176,137)
Total Other Financing Sources (Uses)	(1,159,883)	(1,159,883)	(4,336,020)	(3,176,137)
Special Items				
County Settlement		1,000,000	1,000,000	-
Net Change in Fund Balance	839,256	1,839,256	(1,630,441)	(3,469,697)
Fund Balance, Beginning of Year	1,630,441	1,630,441	1,630,441	-
Fund Balance, End of Year	$ 2,469,697	$ 3,469,697	$ -	$ (3,469,697)

Moorpark Redevelopment Agency
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances
1999 Tax Allocation Bonds Debt Service Fund
Budget and Actual
Year Ended June 30, 2009

	Budgeted Amounts		Actual	Variances with Final Budget
	Original	Final	Amounts	Positive (Negative)
Revenues				
Taxes	$	$	$	$ -
Use of Money and Property				-
Total Revenues	-	-	-	-
Expenditures				
Current:				
Public Services				-
Debt Service:				
Principal				-
Interest				-
Total Expenditures	-	-	-	-
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues over Expenditures	-	-	-	-
Other Financing Sources (Uses)				
Transfers In			1,027,730	1,027,730
Transfers Out				-
Total Other Financing Sources (Uses)	-	-	1,027,730	1,027,730
Net Change in Fund Balance	-	-	1,027,730	1,027,730
Fund Balance, Beginning of Year	-	-	-	-
Fund Balance, End of Year	$ -	$ -	$ 1,027,730	$ 1,027,730

Moorpark Redevelopment Agency
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances
2001 Tax Allocation Bonds Debt Service Fund
Budget and Actual
Year Ended June 30, 2009

	Budgeted Amounts		Actual	Variances with Final Budget
	Original	Final	Amounts	Positive (Negative)
Revenues				
Taxes	$	$	$	$ -
Use of Money and Property				-
Total Revenues	-	-	-	-
Expenditures				
Current:				
Public Services				-
Debt Service:				
Principal				-
Interest				-
Total Expenditures	-	-	-	-
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues over Expenditures	-	-	-	-
Other Financing Sources (Uses)				
Transfers In			584,674	584,674
Transfers Out				-
Total Other Financing Sources (Uses)	-	-	584,674	584,674
Net Change in Fund Balance	-	-	584,674	584,674
Fund Balance, Beginning of Year	-	-	-	-
Fund Balance, End of Year	$ -	$ -	$ 584,674	$ 584,674

Moorpark Redevelopment Agency
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances
2006 Tax Allocation Bonds Debt Service Fund
Budget and Actual
Year Ended June 30, 2009

	Budgeted Amounts		Actual	Variances with Final Budget
	Original	Final	Amounts	Positive (Negative)
Revenues				
Taxes	$	$	$	$ -
Use of Money and Property				-
Total Revenues	-	-	-	-
Expenditures				
Current:				
Public Services				-
Debt Service:				
Principal				-
Interest				-
Total Expenditures	-	-	-	-
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues over Expenditures	-	-	-	-
Other Financing Sources (Uses)				
Transfers In			533,654	533,654
Transfers Out				-
Total Other Financing Sources (Uses)	-	-	533,654	533,654
Net Change in Fund Balance	-	-	533,654	533,654
Fund Balance, Beginning of Year	-	-	-	-
Fund Balance, End of Year	$ -	$ -	$ 533,654	$ 533,654

Moorpark Redevelopment Agency
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances
2001 Bond Proceeds Capital Projects Fund
Budget and Actual
Year Ended June 30, 2009

	Budgeted Amounts		Actual	Variances with Final Budget
	Original	Final	Amounts	Positive (Negative)
Revenues				
Use of Money and Property	$ 355,489	$ 355,489	$ 201,624	$ (153,865)
Intergovernmental				-
Other Revenue			6,990	6,990
Total Revenues	355,489	355,489	208,614	(146,875)
Expenditures				
Current:				
Public Services				
Administration	122,975	249,485	126,380	123,105
Capital Outlay		3,499,474	664,067	2,835,407
Total Expenditures	122,975	3,748,959	790,447	2,958,512
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues over Expenditures	232,514	(3,393,470)	(581,833)	2,811,637
Other Financing Sources (Uses)				
Transfers Out			(4,846,323)	(4,846,323)
Total Other Financing Sources (Uses)	-	-	(4,846,323)	(4,846,323)
Net Change in Fund Balance	232,514	(3,393,470)	(5,428,156)	(2,034,686)
Fund Balance, Beginning of Year	12,088,462	12,088,462	12,088,462	-
Fund Balance, End of Year	$ 12,320,976	$ 8,694,992	$ 6,660,306	$ (2,034,686)

Moorpark Redevelopment Agency
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances
2006 Bond Proceeds Capital Projects Fund
Budget and Actual
Year Ended June 30, 2009

	Budgeted Amounts		Actual	Variances with Final Budget
	Original	Final	Amounts	Positive (Negative)
Revenues				
Use of Money and Property	$ 330,542	$ 330,542	$ 201,692	$ (128,850)
Total Revenues	330,542	330,542	201,692	(128,850)
Expenditures				
Current:				
Public Services				
Administration	2,430,178	2,369,199	153,416	2,215,783
Capital Outlay	9,500,000	9,500,000		9,500,000
Total Expenditures	11,930,178	11,869,199	153,416	11,715,783
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues over Expenditures	(11,599,636)	(11,538,657)	48,276	11,586,933
Other Financing Sources (Uses)				
Transfers In				-
Total Other Financing Sources (Uses)	-	-	-	-
Net Change in Fund Balance	(11,599,636)	(11,538,657)	48,276	11,586,933
Fund Balance, Beginning of Year	9,580,090	9,580,090	9,580,090	-
Fund Balance, End of Year	$ (2,019,546)	$ (1,958,567)	$ 9,628,366	$ 11,586,933

This page intentionally left blank

Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based On an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards*

The Honorable Chair and Members of the Agency
Moorpark Redevelopment Agency
Moorpark, California

We have audited the financial statements of the Moorpark Redevelopment Agency (the "Agency") as of and for the year ended June 30, 2009, and have issued our report thereon dated December 4, 2009. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Agency's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Agency's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Agency's internal control over financial reporting.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the Agency's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report financial data reliably in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the Agency's financial statements that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the Agency's internal control.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the financial statements will not be prevented or detected by the Agency's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Agency's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. Such provisions include those provisions of laws and regulations identified in the *Guidelines for Compliance Audits of California Redevelopment Agencies,* issued by the State Controller. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*. We noted certain matters that we reported to management in a separate letter dated December 4, 2009.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, and the State Controller's Office, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Seaman Ramsey & Smith

December 4, 2009